The Religious Major and Liberal Education — A White Paper

With the generous support of the Teagle Foundation, The American Academy of Religion’s eighteen-month study of “The Religion Major and Liberal Education” featured the direct participation of over 300 faculty members and stakeholders on more than a dozen campuses. The initiative’s seed grant program funded studies and formal conversations regarding the major that were conducted on individual campuses. Over thirty proposals were submitted with grants awarded to ten institutions: College of Christian University, Eckerd College, Lafayette College, Louisiana State University, McHenry County College, University of Minnesota, University of New Mexico, Santa Clara University, Texas State University, and Wofford College.

A day-long leadership workshop on the topic of “The Religion Major and Liberal Education” was held at the 2007 American Academy of Religion in San Diego with twenty-five presenters and discussion leaders and over seventy-five registrants. A “wildcard” paper session at the same meeting featured five formal academic papers on the topic.

A special six-page section of Religious Studies News (October 2007) was dedicated to the initiative, with contributions from ten individuals. The AAR–Teagle Working Group met in Atlanta (twice) and San Diego to discuss and digest the various reports, findings, and essays. The Working Group members would like to thank all of the participants for their invaluable contributions of time, energy, and ideas, and to offer special thanks to the Teagle Foundation for its generous support of this initiative. Under the leadership of Robert Connor, president, and Donna Heiland, vice president, the Teagle Foundation not only supplied financial resources in support of the project, but Bob, Donna, and Cheryl Ching gave generously of their time, experience, and wisdom.

The Religious Studies Major in a Post-9/11 World: New Challenges, New Opportunities

I. Opportunities

New Perceptions

These days, it is hardly news when a publication prints a retraction. When the retraction is for an eight-year-old obituary, though, people tend to stand up and take notice.

As the 1990s came to a close, The Economist was so certain of the imminent demise of organized religion that it featured God’s obituary in its final issue of the millennium.1 The editorial’s perspective was clear, if myopic. Changing patterns in the interpretation of religious beliefs in Western Europe was in free fall. “The cynical, questioning, anti-authoritarian West,” often led by college professors, had just completed a century of relentless (and frequently effective) attacks on religious belief. For politicians, intellectuals, and even some clerics, “religion was becoming marginal to public life . . . [and] faith an irrelevance in foreign policy.”2 The U.S. Secretary of State at the time, Madeleine Albright, was of the opinion that any given world problem was “complicated enough without bringing God and religion into it.”3 And when Henry Kissinger published his 900-page, career-summarizing Diplomacy in 1995, the word “religion” did not even appear in the index, as if religion was on the way out. So our defenders of the Enlightenment canon declared.

How times have changed.

A recent study reports that the proportion of the world’s population that claims membership in the world’s four largest religions—Christianity, Islam, Buddhism, and Hinduism—actually increased over the past century, from 67 percent in 1900 to 73 percent in 2005.4 The number is predicted to reach 80 percent by 2050. Last year, Harvard faculty engaged in a very public debate over the importance of the study of religion in the university’s core curriculum, with the approved core featuring multiple references to religion (if stopping short of mandating its study).5 Former Secretary of State Albright recently has become a high-visibility advocate of the public role of religion, writing that the failure of Americans to understand other religions “poses one of the great challenges to our public diplomacy.”6 And a few months ago, The Economist printed a rejoinder to its notorious obituary, declaring: “Both Muslims and agnostics hate the fact, but these days religion is an inseparable part of politics.”7

Of course, those of us in the field of religious studies know that religion has always been an inseparable part of politics, as well as an inseparable part of economics, foreign policy, social mores, and domestic interactions. The waning years of the twentieth century were certainly no exception. While the reality has not changed in recent years, public perceptions doubtlessly have. World events have led Americans to a new appreciation of the importance of knowledge about religion and to a vivid awareness of the dangers that emerge when we fail to recognize religion as a potent source of motivation and behavior. In a world shaped not merely by 9/11 but by Iraq, Bosnia, Katrina, and the West Bank—not merely by abortion, but by gay marriage, intelligent design, euthanasia, and stem cells—Americans increasingly accept the idea that we need better to understand the diverse range of religious phenomena. In one recent survey, over 80 percent of Americans responded affirmatively to the question, “Do you think people should learn more about religions other than their own?”

II. Challenges

The Religious Studies Major in Transition

The religious studies major is in a state of flux. By most indicators, the field is growing, perhaps significantly. The number of religious studies majors increased by 22 percent in the past decade (to an estimated 47,000 students), with like percentage increases in the number of total courses offered, course enrollments, and faculty positions in the field.7 The number of religious studies majors at public institutions has grown even more rapidly, by 40 percent during the same period, signifying a sea-change in the field. What was once a major segment largely situated within liberal arts colleges and denominationally–linked institutions is now establishing a widespread presence at state universities. In the past five years alone, new degree programs in departments of religion have been proposed or established at the University of Texas, Ohio (continued on page 22)
State University, Florida State University, Georgia State University, the University of Minnesota, the University of North Carolina, Charlotte, the University of North Carolina, Asheville, and Towson State University, among other public institutions. In part, shaped by this trend, the number of religion degree programs that are housed in freestanding religion departments also appears to be on the rise, with the total now topping 50 percent.

New Global Emphasis

What constitutes the religious studies major is also undergoing rapid change. The American Academy of Religion conducted comprehensive surveys of undergraduate course offerings in religion in both 2000 and 2005. The results are striking, if not surprising. The number of sections taught of courses in Islam and Hinduism each almost doubled during the five-year period; by most indications, courses in Christian Theology, Old Testament, and New Testament were flat or down. Sections of Introduction to World Religions grew in number; sections of Introduction to the Bible declined. There is a very real shift occurring in the field of religious studies — not a shift away from the study of Western religions per se (indeed, courses in the Introduction to Western Religions were up significantly during the five-year period), but one away from the study of Christianity in isolation.

The eighteen-month-long, American Academy of Religion study of the religious studies major, supported by the Teagle Foundation and resulting in this White Paper, found much evidence corroborating these numbers — as well as evidence of challenges that have emerged amid the rapid change.

Rethinking the “Seminary Model”

At religiously-linked schools such as Colorado Christian University (Council of Christian Colleges and Universities) and Santa Clara University ( Jesuit), efforts are underway to re-concept and to globalize the study of religion on campus. Colorado Christian provides a particularly interesting example of the transformation of the field. An evangelical university that “purposely seeks to foster spiritual as well as intellectual growth,” Colorado Christian has just added its first comparative course in world religions and seeks to establish a religious studies major. On a campus where “Christianity isn’t a religion, it’s a life,” such undertakings can be controversial. As Frank Ames reports, “Although many parochial institutions maintain high academic standards for students and appoint capable scholars and teachers to their faculties — and often succeed in providing excellent education — it is fair to say that religious commitment at times diminishes empathy toward the Other and awareness of the Self, which are essential in religious studies.” While Ames and his colleagues at Colorado Christian are currently negotiating the at times subtle lines between personal religious commitment and the scholarly study of religious traditions, they are convinced of the importance of the academic study of other religions amid a Christian devotional context.

At Santa Clara, the department is consciously involved in efforts to “explore the shape and function of theological studies in relation to other approaches to religion,” including political science and history, women’s and gender studies, and environmental studies.

Colorado Christian and Santa Clara are part of a larger movement in which departments and curricula in religious studies at public, private, and church-related institutions are gradually, persistently, and unevenly shifting from a “seminary model” for the study of religion (in which courses in Bible, Christian history, and Christian doctrine are seen as primary and courses on other religions and aspects of religion are deemed secondary or even unnecessary) to a comparative model (in which the focus is on promoting student understanding of the religious beliefs and historical traditions of multiple religious traditions in a comparative context).

Faculty and Administrator Mapperceptions of the Field

In the state system of Texas, another sort of transformation is underway. Between 1905 and 1985, almost all instruction in religion within the units of the Texas College and University System was performed by “Bible Chairs”: ministers nominated and paid for by various Christian religious bodies, sometimes teaching from an explicitly devotional perspective. The practice was declared unconstitutional in the mid-1980s, but a perception that religious studies is indistinguishable from religious practice remained in the minds of many administrators and faculty members across the state. The permission granted in May 2007 by the University of Texas, Austin to establish the first-ever Department of Religion within the state system represents a significant change in state policy.

But old perceptions die slowly: on one university campus in Texas, while 98 percent of the students believe that religion should be on the curriculum, 22 percent are afraid that religion will be on the curriculum. The survey found that 10 percent of the faculty members are still of the opinion that religious studies courses are, by their very nature, parochial.

Such sentiments fly in the face of nearly unanimous legal consensus. As early as Abington v. Schempp in 1963, the United States Supreme Court declared the constitutionality of religious studies in the state setting. Speaking for the majority, Justice Thomas Clark wrote: “[I]t might well be said that one’s education is not complete without the study of religion. . . . Nothing we have said here indicates that such study of the Bible or of religion, when presented objectively as part of a secular program of education, may not be effectuated consistent with the First Amendment.” Despite such assurances, the concerns of some faculty members, in Texas and elsewhere, who fear that religious studies courses are being used as an encroachment of religious practice into the classroom can still present real obstacles to the development of the discipline in state settings.

In some senses, what is happening in the Texas state system parallels the movements at Colorado Christian and Santa Clara — a transition of the field from a seminary to a comparative model. In Texas and other state-school contexts, though, the common fear faced is not that the religious studies major is not Christian enough, but rather that it might be too much so.

Evolving Interdisciplinary Efforts and Sub-fields

Amid already established programs of religious studies, the challenges are often of a different nature. At the University of Minnesota and Louisiana State University, efforts are underway to increase the interdisciplinary outreach of relatively small programs as a means of ensuring both curricular relevance and institutional allies. In these settings, the size and scope of the studies major is growing, but largely through increased collaboration between core faculty and colleagues in cognate departments. The university appointment of a scholar in Hinduism, for instance, might be jointly shared between Religious Studies and Asian Studies. Gail Hinich Sutherland of Louisiana State observes, “This is going to mean that we probably have to leave the narrow textualists for seminars and well-endowed private universities. No one wants to trade scholarly profundities for glib generalities but we must take note of the world we are preparing our students to inhabit.”

This is to say that teaching about the world is unimportant to students of religious studies. Still, in certain interdisciplinary- and area-studies settings, emerging perceptions of the public importance of religious studies are already shaping the nature and direction of the field, pointing the way to courses and faculty appointments in some sub-fields and not in others. Indeed, such directions may be partially responsible for the rapid nationwide increase in the number of courses in areas such as Hinduism and Islam but decline in the number of courses in Bible and theology.

Defining and Assessing the Major

The faculties of other established programs of religious studies are grappling with the challenge of assessment. Amid a national wave of assessment initiatives, programs are scrambling to find ways to fit the noniously broad and ever-evolving nature of religious studies into rubrics both literal and metaphorical. Of the thirty programs submitting “seed grant” proposals to the AAR–Teagle initiative on the religious studies major, fully one-half already offer some kind of capstone course/experience to their majors. Many other programs are contemplating adding such a capstone. But what should be the nature of such courses, specifically how they contribute to assessment, and are there alternate models for assessment that might be more effective? Eckerd College, for example, is developing comprehensive examinations in three fields with a substantial paper that together form the basis for an extended conversation between the student and the faculty. The campus, under the leadership of Eckerd College has experimented with a model of faculty-student research collaboration.

Clearly, part of the challenge in developing assessment strategies for the discipline is the fact that there is continuing debate about the appropriate status of religious studies as a major (though Section III of this report suggests that the depth of these debates may be exaggerated at times). Unlike a number of undergraduate discipline fields, religious studies continues to be marginalized by the accrediting bodies enforcing uniform content for the major or that spring from long-established disciplinary histories, religious studies is relatively new and evolving. In strong interdisciplinary context complicates assessment further, as the major often straddles multiple departments. A final problem is the relative lack of reliable data collected by departments and the discipline about the career paths of students graduating with undergraduate degrees in religious studies.

Given that the content of the religious studies major is in flux and information about what students do with the major after graduation is incomplete at best, the tasks of defining the major and then assessing it represent continuing challenges across the discipline.

Growth in Community Colleges

At any given moment, 46 percent of American college students are attending community colleges. This group includes the first five-year cohort of students in world religions, introduction to religion, philosophy of religion, Bible, and even Islam are increasingly common in these settings (over 50 percent of the 250 or so currently offering coursework in the world, few of the instructors — often burdened by high teaching loads and no travel support — are members of the AAR. By one accounting, of a total AAR membership of 11,000, only about 100 members are on the faculties of community colleges. In light of the rapid increase in the number of religious studies majors at state universities, it is safe to assume that community colleges provide the training ground for many majors in the field. For the subset of community college students who do not continue on to four-year institutions, their community-college education might provide their only formal opportunity to take courses in religious studies. As November’s White Paper poignantly pointed out, this subset features a disproportionately large number of military personnel who will take their newfound knowledge of religious studies — or lack thereof — overseas to apply in real-world situations in Iraq, Afghanistan, and other locales.

In many cases, contact, let alone coordination, between the faculties of four-year and community colleges is nonexistent and those of the “ feeder” community colleges in their areas is all but non-existent. How can
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the discipline better coordinate efforts between community colleges and four-year institutions to educate students in religious studies and to provide greater access to the discipline?

* * *

The challenges to the religious studies major are thus multiple: rapid growth, especially in public universities; a pronounced yet uneven shift away from a seminar and toward a more innovative curriculum; and the need to develop and sustain the major. Toward this end, the AAR–Teagle initiative has been successful in providing context and support for colleagues to conceptualize the emerging articulations of the field, as well as to develop and sustain the major. This framework has been embraced by many colleagues on other campuses. As a result of the AAR–Teagle initiative, it is nontheless important that we continue to work toward a more coherent and sustained set of guidelines and strategies for the development of religious studies at the undergraduate level.

**III. Actions**

The American Academy of Religion will celebrate the centennial of its founding in 2009. In conjunction with this landmark, it is appropriate that the AAR and its members commit themselves to a series of actions for improving the major.

**Strengthening the Major**

The discipline of religious studies must begin to define, develop, and nurture practices and structures for sustained scholarly discussion of the undergraduate major. Toward this end, the AAR–Teagle Working Group makes the following two recommendations to the AAR Board:

1. **Beginning with the 2009 Annual Meeting, the American Academy of Religion should inaugurate a consultation on “The Religious Studies Major” with the goal of integrating the section into the permanent structure of the Annual Meeting.**

   While the AAR Annual Meeting features hundreds of sessions each year, there is no continuing forum for the discussion of the undergraduate major. Currently, multiple sessions focus on teaching and on strategies for individual courses, but we rarely pause as scholars to compare and engage ideas about the aim and content of the undergraduate curriculum in religious studies, as adding. A new forum on “The Religious Studies Major” would provide a continuing conversation that could lead to the creation of a new educational structure for the discipline. Individual sessions could focus on topics such as “The Capstone Course and Role in the Major,” “Building Interdisciplinary Bridges,” “Integrating the Major and the Goals of Liberal Education,” “Balancing Required Courses and Electives,” “Making the Case for the Major with Administrators,” and “The Challenge of Teaching Ethics in the Major.”

   The goal would be to provide a forum for scholars to share challenges, best practices, successes, and failures. Additionally, the creation of a consultation on “The Religious Studies Major” would provide an administrative structure for the continuing conversation that could be sustained in various settings (including regional meetings) throughout the year. This structure would also serve to support step 2, outlined below.

2. **Beginning in 2010 and continuing through 2012, the AAR should convene three annual, day-long workshops on the Religious Studies major, with each workshop focusing on a different theme related to the undergraduate major.**

   Colleagues across the discipline are grappling with a range of issues — from trying to establish the religious studies major amid hostile environments to re-conceiving long-entrenched curricula to address the evolving needs of a liberal education. Sharing best practices for the formulation, implementation, and assessment of learning outcomes; exploring the successes and failures of particular curricula for the major; and exploring the lines between serving students’ academic and spiritual needs are all undertakings that demand sufficient time and effort. To give adequate attention to these processes — and to participate over an extended period of time. The workshop model has proven highly effective in such contexts, allowing us to engage in organized but in helping to establish a core network of stakeholders and leaders in the discussion. There appears to be much enthusiasm for the workshop idea among the membership of the AAR: the day-long workshop on “The Religion Major and Liberal Education” held at the 2007 Annual Meeting in San Diego drew record attendance, filling with 75 registrants from across the country. In conjunction with the ability to secure outside funding to support the initiative, the Working Group recommends that the AAR “jump start” the scholarship of the major by holding a series of three annual “Leadership Workshops” on the major between 2010 and 2012.

**Defining the Major**

The discipline must continue to work to articulate a more coherent and sustained vision of the religious studies endeavor and to define the specific characteristics and value of the religious studies major. Toward this end, the Working Group recommends the following two recommendations to the AAR Board:

1. **Beginning in 2009, the AAR should parallel its highly successful “Syllabus Project” web pages by launching a new web page entitled “The Major: Developing a Compendium-discipline-wide information on central aspects of the undergraduate major.”**

   The AAR’s “Syllabus Project” collects almost 400 syllabi for dozens of different courses submitted by individuals and members. In an ever-evolving field, it affords scholars of religion — new and seasoned alike — the opportunity to pursue their own initial steps and consult articles in their courses’ offerings on a top of topics. It also allows scholars to locate and to network with colleagues in the discipline who are engaged in teaching projects similar to their own. The web pages featuring the “Syllabus Project” have proven highly popular among the AAR membership, becoming the second most visited pages on the entire AAR website.

   It is proposed that in 2009 the AAR should launch parallel web pages dedicated to “The Major Project” and collecting data specifically on that nature of religious studies major requirents, they will be able to return to these web pages as a clearinghouse for ideas and approaches utilized by colleagues on other campuses. As a result of the supported Leadership Workshops held at the 2007 Annual Meeting, on the major, three dozen plans already have been collectd in this effort.

2. **In light of a growing consensus about the characteristics of the religious studies major, the discipline and its members should work together to distinguish the religious studies major from undergraduate majors in theology, history, philosophy, sociology, classics, and other distinct disciplines.**

   The AAR–Teagle initiative on the religious studies major has revealed at least one important, and somewhat surprising, truth: despite the diversity of the field, there is emerging a strong and growing consensus about the basic characteristics of the religious studies major. In part prompted by recent world events and in part shaped by educational movements, religious studies programs in almost every setting — public, private, denominational, and secular — are converging upon certain core concepts as essential to the major. These concepts can be found in the directions taken by religiously-minded programs such as Santa Clara and Colorado Christian, in public university settings such as Texas and Louisiana State, and in liberal arts contexts such as Eckerd and Rhodes.

   While setting these characteristics forth is, at best, a preliminary step in a larger discussion, it is nonetheless important that we do so — to assist our colleagues in their discussions with administrators who might otherwise blend the lines between the study of religion and its practical value clear to others and to ourselves the links between the discipline and the essential components of a liberal education, and to avoid misrepresenting and mislabeling the major as something it is not to students and colleagues alike. In discussions with colleagues, it is important that we establish or to refine undergraduate majors in religious studies, several common charcteristics emerge. The religious studies major is, by its very nature:

   **Intercultural and Comparative:** The major is the most transcendent of all religious tradition and engages the phenomena of religion across culturally and among cultures.

   **Multi-disciplinary:** The major promotes the study of religion as a single discipline in a range of medical and theological approaches to religious phenomena.

   **Critical:** The major teaches students to examine and engage religious phenomena, including issues of ethical and social responsibility, from a perspective of critical inquiry and analysis of both the other and the self.

   **Integrative:** The major applies theoretical knowledge of religious phenomena to lived, practical contexts, both historical and current.

   **Creative and Constructive:** The major employs knowledge of religious phenomena and the skills of religious studies in the solving of complex problems, including those raised in the personal and social engagement of issues of life, death, love, violence, suffering, and meaning.

   There are obvious and strong affinities between the characteristics of the religious studies major and the AACU outcomes of liberal education, discussed in Section I. These links should be embraced and strengthened through our continued articulations of the major, the development of clear learning outcomes, and the implementation of robust assessment plans.

   While there are many worthwhile manners by which students can study religion, not all such approaches are appropriately labeled a “major in religious studies.” The field of religious studies has rightly come to mean things distinct from the disciplines of history, theology, sociology, philosophy, and political science. To posit that a major is either a degree that examines a single religion or one that explores multiple religions from a single methodological perspective is, in religious studies, to fuel confusion on the part of colleagues, administrators, students, and the public. It is also, by definition, to disassociate the major in religious studies from at least some of its core connections to the values of a liberal education.

   **Strengthening the Major**

   One clear challenge to efforts to improve the major in religious studies is the fact that the discipline and its members currently lack key data about certain central issues. A second challenge is that many of us find our programmatic assessment plans (as well as our knowledge of assessment, in general) to be in their infancy. Toward the end of addressing some of these issues, the Working Group makes the following three recommendations to the AAR Board:

   **1. Beginning in 2009, the AAR should assist in the coordination of several pilot studies. The individual campuses will be dedicated to the tracking of religious studies majors after graduation and in the collection of data with regard to students’ career paths.**

   (continued from page 22)
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At a prototypical course of study in liberal education, the undergraduate major in religious studies rightly makes no claim to being a professional degree. Its requirements and nature should not solely or even primarily be determined by their usefulness and applicability to the job market, per se. Such valid sentiments, however, do not mean that our responsibilities to our students as we educate or train future preachers or religious professionals in the minor should not be informed by an awareness of the life students will lead after college. Almost 50,000 undergraduates currently are majoring in religious studies in the United States. Yet most religious studies programs have only limited and anecdotal knowledge of what happens to students after their final classes. As one faculty member at Wartburg College put it, at present “it’s really more a matter of [students] keeping track of us than our keeping track of them.”

What have students found helpful about the religious studies major that needs to be improved? Is the discipline equipping students with skills that they feel serve them well in life beyond college? Effective assessment strategies, student evaluations, at least some input from the student, and there are rich insights to be mined from graduates who have gained the perspective afforded to them by life experiences and a little distance from their undergraduate studies. The discipline needs to develop a set of best practices for the tracking of undergraduate majors post-graduation, including models for overcoming the practical challenges in the process and examples of survey instruments that might be employed in various contexts.

Continuing upon the task of securing external funding for the initiative and perhaps in cooperation with the AAR Job Placement Task Force, beginning in 2009 the AAR should proceed with a group of three or four institutions to pilot potential tracking techniques and survey instruments with an eye towards sharing effective models with the larger AAR membership.

2. Beginning in 2009, the AAR should coordinate national pilot programs designed to connect community-college faculty who are teaching courses in religion with colleagues in the field at four-year schools in the same geographic area. The goal will be to produce best practices for fostering effective collaborations between such faculties.

As the field of religious studies matures, it increasingly must address challenges that, in some instances, have been faced by other academic disciplines for decades. Twenty years ago, the number of community colleges offering courses in religious studies was miniscule; today, over 40 percent of community colleges offer courses in the discipline. How faculties at two-year and four-year institutions collaborate to train students in religious studies will significantly shape the health of the discipline in the years ahead. There is a need for scholars of religious studies to develop mechanisms that are effective in bridging the often deep institutional and bureaucratic barriers between two- and four-year schools and to establish common expectations, content, and purpose across religious studies at these levels. In cases in which community colleges are not offering courses in religious studies, the faculties at neighboring four-year institutions might be potential critical resources, fostering awareness of the nature and importance of the discipline. Contingent on the securing of external funding to support the initiative, in 2009 the AAR should begin to coordinate a series of two to three pilot programs connecting the faculties at established religious studies programs at four-year universities with the faculties at neighboring community colleges. The goal will be to have those programs and then to share with the AAR membership a series of best practices for productive collaboration in such contexts.

3. Starting with the 2009 Annual Meeting, the American Academy of Religion should institute a consultation on “The Assessment of the Religious Studies Major” with the goal of integrating the section into the permanent structure of the Annual Meeting. In 2010, the AAR should add to the proposed “Major Project” web pages listing assessment plans from various institutions.

The argument that calls for increased collaboration and consultation among members of the AAR with regard to the nature and structure of the major also applies to the major’s assessment once it has been established. As we learn more about students, their strengths and their weaknesses, we need simultaneously to establish structures that will promote a sustained dialogue on effective means of maintaining and improving what we do and identifying and improving what we do less well. Establishing a consultation at the Annual Meeting is a first step in this direction. Sharing assessment plans and ideas through the AAR website provides another means of promoting dialogue and the exchange of ideas. As with the proposed “Major Project,” the goal of the accompanying Assessment web pages will be for colleagues from across the discipline to voluntarily submit the assessment plans from their home institutions and agree to serve as resources to others who might have questions or need advice.

Even collectively, the seven actions outlined in this section represent only a starting point for a much larger discussion of the religious studies major and the discipline. Through developing mechanisms for a sustained conversation about the major, defining the major more fully and carefully, filling gaps in our present knowledge about the major, and assessing it more robustly, the hope is that we, as scholars of religion, can foster a rich and productive dialogue that creates a genuine “scholarship of the major” in the years ahead.

IV. The Task Ahead

In 1999, precisely the time when The Economist was releasing its obituary of God, historian D. G. Hart was publishing an obituary of the discipline. Through developing mechanisms for a sustained conversation about the major, defining the major more fully and carefully, filling gaps in our present knowledge about the major, and assessing it more robustly, the hope is that we, as scholars of religion, can foster a rich and productive dialogue that creates a genuine “scholarship of the major” in the years ahead.
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The Election of the American Academy of Religion is the major event of the year for the discipline. It is a formal recognition of the intellectual contributions and scholarly achievements of the field’s preeminent scholars. The election process is designed to identify and honor individuals who have made significant contributions to the field of religious studies and who have demonstrated leadership and commitment to the discipline. The recall of a significant leader, as when the American Academy of Religion elected and honored D. G. Hart, is an emblem of the discipline’s resilience and its ongoing vitality.

The American Academy of Religion is an international professional organization dedicated to the development of the discipline of religious studies and to the advancement and propagation of research and scholarship in the field. The Academy is composed of scholars, practitioners, and students from a wide range of academic disciplines, including philosophy, theology, sociology, anthropology, history, and literature, as well as interdisciplinary fields such as religious studies and comparative religion.

The Academy’s mission is to support and encourage research and scholarship in the field, to promote the exchange of ideas and information among scholars, and to provide a forum for the discussion of issues relevant to the discipline. The Academy accomplishes these goals through a variety of activities, including the publication of three major journals—Religion, Religious Education, and The Journal of Religion and Society—and the sponsorship of annual meetings, which are the largest and most important gatherings of religious studies scholars in the world. The Academy also sponsors a variety of other activities, such as symposia, workshops, and awards, that recognize and support the work of scholars in the field.

The American Academy of Religion is committed to promoting diversity and inclusivity in its membership and activities. The Academy encourages the participation of scholars from a wide range of backgrounds and perspectives, and it strives to ensure that all members have equal opportunities to participate in the Academy’s activities. The Academy also promotes the development of new and emerging scholars and encourages the participation of students in the field.

The American Academy of Religion is a unique and essential organization for anyone interested in religious studies. It is a place where scholars can come together to share ideas, to learn from each other, and to work towards the common goal of advancing the discipline. The Academy is a community of scholars who are dedicated to the pursuit of knowledge and to the promotion of intellectual excellence and academic freedom. It is a place where new ideas are born, and where scholars are encouraged to explore the boundaries of the discipline.
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